

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,658	03/10/2004	Pedro Tula	D-1221 R9	3933
28995 7:	590 11/16/2005		EXAMINER	
RALPH E. JOCKE			FRECH, KARL D	
walker & jocke LPA 231 SOUTH BROADWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MEDINA, OH 44256			2876	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/797,658	TULA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Karl D. Frech	2876					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of the company					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
•	— is action is non-final.						
·—							
, -	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application	n.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 6-8</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 9-14</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>3-5 and 9-14</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	•					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	<u>-</u>						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority documer	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Burea	•						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies no	it received.					
			•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intensions	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-092) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/797,658

Art Unit: 2876

1. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1,2,6,7,8 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory double patenting over claims 1,4,5,7 of copending Application No. 10/797,929. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant

Application/Control Number: 10/797,658 Page 3

Art Unit: 2876

application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: copending '929 claim 1 recites a frame, a cash dispenser, a transaction function device, and a fascia.

Copending '929 claim 7 recites a printer. This printer is not a passbook printer.

However, Official Notice is taken that passbook printers at ATMs are old and well known. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a well known passbook printer at the ATM of the copending '929 claimed invention. This would allow a user of the invention of '929 to update his/her personal bank records. Copending '929 claim 4 recites a bezel that is operable to align an opening in the ATM fascia. Copending '929 claim 5 recites at least one guide adjacent to the bezel opening to contact a portion of the transaction function device and urge the bezel into alignment, i.e. an obstruction block.

- 4. Claims 3-5,9-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art of record fails to teach or fairly suggest to one of ordinary skill in the art, in conjunction with all the other limitations of the claims, the funnel included in the bezel.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lewis et al 6,082,616, Junkins et al 6,648,220, Lewis et al 6,527,172, Lewis et al 2001,0000644, Graef et al 2002/0033359, Holmes et al

Art Unit: 2876

2002/0073032, Ghafoor et al 2002/0128970, Laskowski 2003/00116478 and Kallin et al 2004/0118657 all disclose ATM machines with fascias

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl D. Frech whose telephone number is (571) 272-2390. The examiner can normally be reached on maxi-flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G. Lee can be reached on (571) 272-2398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Karl D Frech
Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2876
